## **Remarks**

In response to the final Office Action mailed June 16, 2005, the Applicant respectfully submits that each rejection has been fully replied to and traversed in light of the amendments above and the remarks below. A Request For Continued Examination has been filed with this paper to facilitate entry of the foregoing amendments.

The Examiner has set forth the following rejections: claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph; claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-17, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.P.N. 6,600,430 to Minagawa et al. (hereinafter the Minagawa patent) in view of U.S.P.A. 2003/0224840 to Frank et al. (hereinafter the Frank application); and claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the Minagawa patent in view of the Frank application and further in view of U.S.P.A. 2003/0098784 to Van Bosch et al. (hereinafter the Van Bosch application).

The Examiner is kindly thanked for his time and consideration in the personal interview conducted August 16, 2005. In that personal interview, the Examiner presented a number of suggested claim amendments to clarify the present invention over the cited references. The amendments above are intended to reflect the substance of these discussions and to expedite the passing of this case to issue.

## Rejection Under § 112, First Paragraph

In this rejection the Examiner asserts that the specification insufficiently supports interfacing an audio component of a phone call between a wireless phone and a vehicle mounted module. The Applicant respectfully submits that the specification does sufficiently support each pending claim. The Examiner's attention is drawn to paragraph 26 of the specification, which recites "used to transfer an audio component of the phone conversation to the vehicle network."

S/N: 10/604,048 Reply to Office Action of June 16, 2005

## Rejection of Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-17, and 19-22 § 103(a) Over the Minagawa Patent and Frank Application

As discussed with the Examiner in the personal interview, both the Minagawa patent and the Frank application fail to disclose interfacing an audio portion of a phone call between a vehicle network while the wireless phone is simultaneously communicating with an electronic phone network external to the vehicle. Each of the pending independent claims, namely claims 20, 23 and 24, have been amended to include limitations generally directed to interfacing the audio component of the phone call simultaneously between the vehicle and the wireless phone and the wireless phone and the external phone network. Consequently, the Applicant respectfully submits that each of these independent claims, and the dependent claims which depend therefrom include all limitations thereof are patentable and nonobvious over the Minagawa patent and Frank application.

## Rejection of Claims 8 and 18 Under § 103(a) Over the Minagawa Patent, the Frank and Van Bosch Applications

The Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 8 and 18 are patentable at least for the same reasons that the independent claims from which they depend are patentable.

Reply to Office Action of June 16, 2005

**Conclusion** 

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that each rejection

has been fully replied to and traversed and that the case is in condition to pass to issue. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issue and is invited to contact the

undersigned if it would further prosecution of this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

John Gibeau

By\_

John R. Buser Reg. No. 51,517

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 9-13-05

**BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.** 

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400 Fax: 248-358-3351